



# Planning & Infrastructure Committee Chairs Report 21<sup>st</sup> March 2023

- 1) You will by now have seen and probably experienced the opening of the Angmering part of the A259 Upgrade. Efforts will now be made to see what impact this will have on Angmering concerning rat running, speeding and a better and fuller use of the A280. It will be a topic at the upcoming "Traffic Issues within Angmering" meeting on the 22<sup>nd</sup> March.
- 2) There have been three withdrawals of applications namely: -
  - [A/258/22/HH](#) Elm Grove Cottage, The Square, Angmering.  
*This was withdrawn at the request of ADC as they had made an error in the paperwork sent and completed. Property only requires Listed Building Consent which will be dealt with under a new application.*
  - [A/279/22/HH](#) Ecclesden Paddocks Ecclesden Lane Angmering.  
*The process for Arun District Council regarding this application is a little non-standard given the cross-boundary nature. Following a full review of the works involved by South Downs National Parks Authority, this application is now withdrawn from ADC. APC await the SDNP Application*
  - [A/293/22/RES](#) Wilmington Arundel Road Angmering (not Redrow Development of 160 dwellings next door)  
*ADC is not happy with the paperwork sent and has requested resubmission of application for seven dwellings.*
- 3) On Monday 13<sup>th</sup> I attended a virtual Summer Road Safety Briefing given by the Police. Discussion was related to the forthcoming activity called "Operation Downsway" throughout Sussex. All areas will be targeted with the intention of reducing anti-social driving, drunk/drug driving, spotting and prosecuting those drivers who speed, drive carelessly, have no or use improper number plates, not wearing seat belts, using a mobile phone whilst driving and causing noise by the fitting of improper mufflers. The Road Safety Unit will continue to work with Community Speed Watch and are appealing for more volunteers to assist these watches. They will also be present at large events to highlight the work that they do to make our roads safer. It is also their intention to work closer with Local Councils, Councillors and Clerks. They are also requesting that more use is made of "Operation Crackdown" as this has provided very useful evidence when catching offenders and prosecuting them.
- 4) On Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> I attended a Town & Parish Council meeting given by the SDNPA at their Headquarters in Midhurst the subject matter was: -
  - Parish Priority Statements (as part of Local Plan Review)
  - Using community greenspaces to benefit nature and people.
  - Sharing information and best practice across the National Park.
- 5) I would like to thank those members of the public who are reporting to APC the problems being caused by the developers. These problems are being reported to both County and District who assure APC that they are acting on them. We can only ask that the public remain vigilant and continue to report to APC or via the appropriate County or District website together with any photographic evidence.
- 6) WSCC have informed us that with effect from 28<sup>th</sup> April 2023 the reporting tool "Love West Sussex" will be turned off. Any problems relating to: -

- Flooding, blocked drains and gullies;
- Road and cycle path faults (including potholes);
- Pavement and verges;
- Trees, hedges, weeds and grass
- Obstructions on the road, pavement, or cycle path

These can now be reported on either [Report a problem with a road or pavement](#) or <https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/roads-and-travel/make-an-enquiry-or-report-a-problem-with-a-road-or-pavement/>

- 7) An update on the Angmering Flood Alleviation Scheme is currently being sought from WSCC. Awaiting their Reply.
- 8) Discussion between the residents and authorities continues regarding the signage on Arundel Road/Church Hill. This will be on the agenda for the meeting on the 22<sup>nd</sup> March. The latest news regarding this signage is that it has been repaired and is working.
- 9) Information has been received that WSCC is proposing a major highway infrastructure improvement project for the A259 Bognor Regis to Littlehampton corridor. Public consultation begins at the end of March. Construction is expected to begin during 2025.
- 10) The latest update from Volker Fitzpatrick has been received regarding the upgrade of the A259 at Angmering and the ongoing process of the Littlehampton section which is due to be open in April.

Councillor Sylvia Verrinder TD  
Chair Planning & Infrastructure

**From:** [Judith Shore](#) on behalf of [Joy Dennis](#)  
**To:** [Judith Shore](#)  
**Subject:** West Sussex Speed Limit Policy revision  
**Date:** 14 March 2023 10:32:59  
**Attachments:** [West Sussex Speed Limit Policy 2022.pdf](#)  
[WS Speed Limit Policy presentation.pdf](#)

---

To: all Clerks/Responsible Officers of West Sussex City, Town, Parish, and Neighbourhood Councils/Meetings

Dear all

You may be aware that, in April 2022, an Executive Task and Finish Group (TFG) was convened by myself as Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport to review the County Road Safety Strategy. The initial priority agreed by the TFG was to review and revise the West Sussex Speed Limit Policy, which became operational on 5 January 2023.

Please find attached a copy of the revised policy, and a short presentation explaining why the policy was reviewed and the main changes to how a speed limit will be assessed. In summary this includes:

- removal of the reliance on average speeds or development frontage to determine suitability for a speed limit.
- focus on the functional use of the road, especially non-motorised vulnerable road users (pedestrians, cyclists, equestrians) to help set an appropriate speed limit and support the January 2022 changes to the Highway Code
- guidance on the wider use of 20mph speed limits, including near schools.
- consideration of a range of supporting measures to gain respect for the speed limit, to include both engineering and behavioural type measures – however, these are not mandatory, and a lower speed limit is not necessarily dependent on them being provided.

There are currently no proposals for a countywide review of speed limits, so any potential changes will only be considered via one of the current mechanisms. Communities may formally request a speed limit change via the [Community Traffic Regulation Order \(TRO\)](#) or [Community Highway Scheme \(CHS\)](#) routes.

Any application will still be subject to the relevant criteria and prioritisation mechanisms. For example, a Community TRO must not cost more than £3,000 to implement and a Community Highways Scheme should demonstrate a wider contribution to the [West Sussex Local Transport Plan](#) themes.

If applications are prioritised for delivery, they will be programmed into the forward capital programme. Please note that, if traffic calming measures are required, schemes will be delivered over two years as they will need to be designed in year one and delivered in year two.

In addition to community requests for changes to speed limits, the County Council will continue to consider the introduction of more appropriate speed limits through local development schemes and as part of highway

improvement projects where appropriate.

If your community wishes to apply for a change to a speed limit, please speak with your County Councillor and the local Area Highways Team, who will be able to provide advice on the best way to proceed.

Regards,

*Joy*

Joy Dennis  
Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport

Member for Hurstpierpoint and Bolney Division  
Covering: Burgess Hill (Gatehouse), Albourne, Bolney, Fulking,  
Hurstpierpoint, Newtimber, Poynings, Pyecombe, Sayers Common and  
Twineham Parishes

WSCC 033022 23729

---

**LEGAL DISCLAIMER**

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.

---

[westsussex.gov.uk](https://westsussex.gov.uk)



# WS Speed Limit Policy

**Chris Stark** | 13<sup>th</sup> January 2023 |



# Why review the Speed Limit Policy?



- West Sussex Council Plan KPI 41 – Commitment to reduce Killed and Serious Injury collisions (KSIs)
- WS Transport Plan commitment to review Road Safety Framework / Speed Limit Policy
- Existing policy raised as a concern @ County Council – December 2021
- Community concerns regarding speed and speed limits
- Positive evidence from speed limit reductions in Wales / Scotland / Oxfordshire / Kent – most recently Cornwall
- Vehicle speed is the most common contributory factor for KSIs

# Still not convinced why?




WSCC wants to:

- Reduce the incidence and severity of collisions
- Reduce residents perceptions of road danger
- Encourage Active Travel choices – walking, wheeling and cycling
- Contribute to improvements in mental and physical wellbeing
- Address congestion / emissions issues
- Make West Sussex a more attractive place
- Support a “Safe System” approach to achieve “Vision Zero”


# So what is Vision Zero / Safe System?

- Vision Zero = No KSIs on public roads
- Safe System approach
  - Humans make mistakes
  - Human body is vulnerable in a crash
  - Shared responsibility between stakeholders
  - Aim to deliver / encourage:
    - ❖ Safe Roads & Roadsides
    - ❖ Safe Speeds
    - ❖ Safe Road use
    - ❖ Safe Vehicles
    - ❖ Exceptional Post crash response





# Collision Survival Rates



- A Vulnerable Road User (VRU) has a 70% chance of fatality if hit by a car at 31mph
  - This drops to 15% at 20mph
- On national speed limit roads a reduction to 50mph can reduce the risk of fatality in a head-on collision from 100% to 40%
  - And down to less than 10% at 40mph.
- Other research suggests much higher survival rates at lower speeds.

# OK, so what's changed?



## Underlying principle:

- Speed limits will be determined by the Functional Hierarchy of the road
- Vulnerable Road Users (VRUs) will be considered highest priority
- Supports changes to the Highway Code (Jan 2022)
- Allows wider use of 20 and 30 mph speed limits
- Allows lower speed limits to promote active travel
- Allows lower speed limits on rural lanes
- Average speeds only used to consider supporting measures

# Functional Hierarchy – what is that?



The way the road is being, or could be, used by VRUs

- Urban areas – high mix of pedestrians, cyclists and local traffic (often at low speed). Maybe some through traffic.
- Rural lanes – lower volumes of pedestrians / cyclists, maybe equestrians. Maybe lower traffic volume, but often at higher speed. Greater risk of more serious injury.
- Rural roads – could have low volume of VRUs but high speed differentials between traffic types and between traffic and VRUs = high risk of serious or fatal injury.

# Assessing Functional Hierarchy



- Who is using the road? Presence or likelihood of VRUs?
- What is the general environment – residential, commercial, industrial, rural?
- Are there VRU generators (schools, community halls, parks etc)?
- Are there footways / verges?
- Type and flow of traffic?
  
- This provides a guide as to what speed limit is **likely** to be appropriate for that location

## What else is considered?

- Review of the road traffic collision history
  - Specific focus on speed or VRU related collisions
- The length of road the speed limit will apply to
- Average speed data
- Need for supporting measures
  - Engineering measures
  - Behavioural change type measures

# Supporting measures types



## Engineering measures:

- Traffic signs
- Road markings
- Vertical deflections
- Horizontal deflections
- Road alignment
- Village gateways (community led)
- Roadside furniture

## Community led behavioural measures:

- Community Speed Watch
- Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs)
- Poster campaigns
- Community distributed leaflets
- Social media

# 20mph speed limits



- Support Active Travel choices
- If average speeds below 26mph - automatically approved
- Above 26mph can still be considered alongside supporting measures
- Suitable for most urban, village or high VRU use areas
- Can be considered for some rural roads (conditions and approval apply)
- Zones (with traffic calming) only where there is a genuine safety need

# Rural roads / lanes



- Predominantly C and Unclassified roads
- Important hierarchical role servicing rural communities supporting mix of leisure, business and residential functions
- Often criss-crossed with public rights of way and generators of VRUs
- Desire to encourage more active travel on quieter routes
- Lanes with no footway and often no verge
- Many are unsuitable for national speed limit
- Acceptable for low speed limits - 40, 30 and yes, even 20



# A & B single c/way roads and dual c/ways



- Will still follow the functional hierarchy criteria
- For most rural single c/way roads 50mph is practicable
  - 40mph acceptable if addressing a road safety concern – supporting measures to be considered
- For dual carriageways, evidence of need for a lower speed limit must be provided
  - A 60 mph speed limit may only be applied as part of a road safety intervention

# What happens next?



- New Speed Limits will be considered through:
  - The existing Community led Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) or Community Highway Scheme (CHS) processes
  - Developers – new roads and changes to existing
  - Highway Improvement projects
- Each TRO application will be assessed against the new Policy and subject to formal consultation

[westsussex.gov.uk](http://westsussex.gov.uk)



# West Sussex Speed Limit Policy



# Contents

West Sussex Speed Limit Policy .....	1
1 Introduction .....	3
2 Speed Limit Assessments.....	4
Functional hierarchy / route assessment.....	5
Route Length Assessment.....	6
Average Speeds .....	7
Speed Reduction / Behavioural Change Measures.....	8
Advisory limits .....	9
3 Specific Speed Limit application .....	9
20mph speed limits and zones .....	9
20mph speed limits outside schools .....	10
Towns and Villages .....	11
Single Carriageway Rural Roads .....	12
Dual carriageways.....	12
4 Signing Speed Limits .....	13

# 1 Introduction

- 1.1 A "Safe system" approach to road safety has been adopted by West Sussex County Council. This has a long-term goal for a road traffic system which is eventually free from death and serious injury. There are five key pillars to the Safe System approach:
- Safe Road Use
  - Safe vehicles
  - Safe speeds
  - Safe roads and roadsides
  - Post-crash response.
- 1.2 Research indicates that reducing traffic speeds reduces the likelihood of a road traffic collision occurring and reducing the speed difference between those involved will reduce the severity of any injuries sustained in a collision.
- 1.3 The 2022 changes to the Highway Code introduced a "hierarchy of road users" which places those road users most at risk in the event of a collision at the top of the hierarchy and placed greater responsibility on those who pose the greatest risk to others (generally motorised traffic) to use the highway safely. The most vulnerable road users (VRUs) are pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians and exist in both urban and rural settings.
- 1.4 Setting speed limits with the aim of achieving safe driving speeds therefore plays an important role in making the public highway safer and reducing the risk of harm for all road users. Lower traffic speeds may also encourage more walking and cycling in support of our Active Travel Strategy, helping to make communities safer and more pleasant places to live, and to support local schools, shops, and businesses.
- 1.5 Effective speed management involves many components designed to work together to require, encourage and help road users adopt appropriate safe speeds below the speed limit. Speed limits should be self-explaining, encourage self-compliance and be seen as the maximum rather than a target speed at which to drive regardless of conditions.
- 1.6 Nationally there are three speed limits applicable in England:
- The 30mph speed limit on roads with a system of street lighting (referred to as Restricted Roads)
  - The national speed limit of 60mph on single carriageway roads
  - The national speed limit of 70mph on dual carriageways and motorways.
- 1.7 These limits are not appropriate for all roads, so Department for Transport circular 1/2013 "*Setting Local Speed Limits*" provides advice and guidance

to Traffic Authorities for developing speed management strategies suitable for local needs. Authority to make changes to speed limits is granted through the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA) and in accordance with the current Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions (TSRGD).

- 1.8 Though experience shows that changing to a lower speed limit on its own is not always successful in reducing the speed of traffic to compliant levels if the prevailing mean speeds are much higher than the proposed lower limit, there is a high correlation between pre-existing mean speeds and the reduction in speeds. In general, higher existing speeds lead to greater speed reductions – as evidenced from recent 20mph schemes in the Scottish Borders, Oxfordshire, Wales, and Kent. Higher existing speeds should not, therefore, preclude the option to consider a lower speed limit.
- 1.9 However, it is advised that speed limits alone should not be used to attempt to protect VRUs or to solve the problem of isolated hazards (such as single road junctions or reduced forward visibility such as a bend or hidden dip). In these circumstances if speed limits are to be used, they should be considered as part of a package of supporting measures to manage vehicle speeds and improve road safety.
- 1.10 Changes to the highway, such as narrowings, vertical speed reducing measures, realigning the road or additional road signs and markings may be considered to encourage lower speeds where reducing the speed limit may not achieve the desired result on its own.

## 2 Speed Limit Assessments

- 2.1 Assessing a road for an appropriate speed limit in West Sussex includes taking the following into account.
  - Functional hierarchy of the route
  - The length of the route to be subject to the speed limit
  - Road Traffic Collision history
  - Traffic speeds (speed assessment)
  - Other means of intervention to improve road safety.
- 2.2 The functional hierarchy / route assessment is undertaken to identify the typical speed limit appropriate for the route under consideration and in most cases will be the primary determinant in the speed limit assessment.
- 2.3 Although not essential to pursue a lower speed limit, a speed assessment of existing traffic flows should be undertaken, either through use of GPS / telematics software or through fixed Automatic Traffic Counters. Most motorists will travel at a speed they consider to be appropriate based upon their assessment of the road environment and the prevailing

conditions. Understanding how the existing road environment influences a driver's choice of speed on a particular section of road means that an assessment of vehicle speeds is highly recommended to help assess if supporting measures may need to be considered.

- 2.4 Where average speeds are higher than the criteria for each proposed speed limit indicated in section 2.11 or there is a high record of treatable road traffic collisions, supporting measures or other road safety interventions may be required to ensure that the new speed limit is self-explaining and well respected by most drivers. Proposals for supporting measures should be considered, and when deemed appropriate discussed and agreed with the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

### Functional hierarchy / route assessment

- 2.5 Functional hierarchy relates to the way the road is being, or could potentially be, utilised by a mix of VRUs and motorised traffic. For example, roads in town centres will likely have a high number of pedestrians and cyclists mixing with a high volume of both local and through traffic, whereas a minor rural road may experience lower volumes of both VRUs and motorised traffic, but potentially at a higher speed and therefore pose a greater risk of more serious injury. A lower speed limit may encourage more VRU use.
- 2.6 The functional hierarchy / route assessment is therefore used to assess the functional use of the public highway, including potential future use by VRUs, and to determine where a particular speed limit is likely to be appropriate. The typical functional use is set out in Table 1.

<b>Speed Limit</b>	<b>Typical functional use</b>
20mph Speed Limit	Generally, where a high proportion of VRUs are, or could be, present or traffic flows are low: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urban residential areas.</li> <li>• Shopping streets.</li> <li>• Industrial estates (where there is a mix of HGV traffic and pedestrian movements).</li> <li>• Roads adjacent to schools.</li> <li>• Locations / routes forming part of an Active Travel "corridor".</li> <li>• Rural villages.</li> <li>• Rural "Quiet Ways" where roads are very narrow with no footway or verge, have low traffic volume and low speeds and support a combination of VRUs.</li> </ul>

30mph speed limit	<p>Generally, where a high proportion of VRUs are, or could be, present and 20mph is deemed not appropriate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Built up / partially built-up urban areas with a high proportion of property (e.g houses, shops, church) frontage.</li> <li>• Rural Villages.</li> <li>• Rural "Quiet Ways" where roads are narrow, have no footways and only a narrow verge, have low traffic volume and low speeds and support a combination of VRUs.</li> </ul>
40 mph speed limit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Through traffic routes (single or dual carriageway) in partially built-up areas with segregated VRU facilities and limited frontage accesses or junctions.</li> <li>• Lengths of A and B class rural roads identified as high risk (see para 2.8).</li> <li>• Rural C class and unclassified roads not within a village.</li> </ul>
50mph	<p>Generally, few VRUs present or segregated facilities provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rural A and B class single carriageways (not within a village).</li> <li>• Rural all-purpose dual carriageways with frequent junctions or development access or otherwise identified as high risk.</li> </ul>
60mph	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rural all-purpose dual carriageways with minimal junctions identified as high risk.</li> </ul>

*Table 1 - Functional hierarchy / route assessment to determine suitable speed limits*

2.7 Further detail relating to specific road / speed limit types can be found under section 3 "Specific speed limit application".

2.8 As part of any speed limit assessment consideration will be given to the road traffic collision history of the road / route including frequency, severity, and causation factors. Those collisions that are evidenced to be treatable with appropriate engineering measures should be considered as part of the speed limit assessment. High risk sites identified through the road traffic collision data assessment will likely require supporting measures, and where appropriate to be discussed and agreed with the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

### Route Length Assessment

2.9 The objective is to achieve a balance between providing reasonable consistency of speed limit along a route and the need to encourage awareness of lower speed limits appropriate for changes in character or



where risks are higher. Exceptionally lengthy sections of speed limit where the functional hierarchy does not support the lower limit or multiple changes of short sections of speed limit along a route should be avoided.

- 2.10 The recommended minimum route length for a speed limit is 600m - this provides reasonable opportunity for active enforcement to be undertaken. However, when considering a compact village location along a route or when provided as a buffer length to provide transition to a lower speed limit this may be reduced to 400m. In exceptional circumstances this may be reduced to 300m with the approval of the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

### Average Speeds

- 2.11 The average (mean) speeds appropriate for each speed limit without the need to consider supporting measures are shown in table 2. Note that the measurement of the existing average speed is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Speed Limit	20	30	40	50
Average speed should be below	26	35	46	57

*Table 2 - Average speeds relevant to each speed limit*

- 2.12 To assess and consider if supporting measures may be required, average speed assessments for the route length under consideration should be reviewed. Data may be obtained by undertaking individual single site collection methods or through the use of GPS led traffic monitoring software.
- 2.13 Where data recorded exceeds the figures in table 2, potential supporting measures or other road safety interventions should be considered, and where appropriate discussed and agreed with the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.
- 2.14 In the absence of a posted speed limit or system of street lighting (as defined by the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions) the national speed limit (NSL) of 60mph on single carriageway roads and 70mph on dual carriageways automatically applies. A 60mph speed limit will only be relevant for use on dual carriageways and as part of a road safety intervention. In such cases any scheme progressed will require approval of the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

2.15 In the design of new, or amendment to existing infrastructure average speeds may be supplemented using 85<sup>th</sup> percentile data (the speed at or below which 85 percent of drivers will operate with open roads and favourable conditions) to maintain safe highway design in accordance with current guidance, e.g Design Manual for Roads and Bridges and the Manual for Streets, rather than relying on the posted speed limit. It is advisable that the higher of the two (85<sup>th</sup> percentile or posted speed limit) is used to apply the correct design guidance or standard.

### Speed Reduction / Behavioural Change Measures

2.16 Speed reduction or other road safety intervention measures may be required to support a lower speed limit where average speeds exceed the speeds indicated in table 2 or to address specific road safety concerns identified through analysis of road traffic collision data. There is no prescriptive guidance on which supporting measures to use, however, table 3 indicates a range of options that could be considered, in accordance with current national guidance, on a case by case basis. Proposals for specific sites, where deemed appropriate, will be discussed and agreed with the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

Type of Measure	Application
Traffic signs	May include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• hazard warning or advisory signs</li> <li>• gateways including village name signs with optional road safety messages</li> <li>• enhanced speed limit signs</li> <li>• vehicle activated (interactive) signs</li> <li>• School Safety Zones</li> </ul>
Road markings	May include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• speed limit roundels</li> <li>• "slow" markings</li> <li>• hatching</li> <li>• rumble devices</li> </ul>
Vertical deflections	Only for use on roads with a 30mph or lower speed limit. May include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• road humps</li> <li>• raised tables</li> <li>• speed cushions</li> </ul>
Horizontal deflections	May include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• road narrowing such as kerb build outs, chicanes, or pinch points</li> <li>• traffic islands</li> <li>• pedestrian refuge islands</li> </ul>

Table 3 - Typical speed reducing measures

- 2.17 In addition, communities may wish to pursue other behavioural change measures such as Community Speed Watch, use of portable Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs) or a local poster campaign. Where appropriate these will be supported and licensed in accordance with current processes.

### Advisory limits

- 2.18 Advisory limits should be used sparingly and will therefore only be used as part of a road safety intervention where evidence indicates a lower speed is necessary, but a formal permanent speed limit is not appropriate. They must be associated with the placement of suitable hazard warning signs in accordance with national guidance.
- 2.19 Advisory limits will require approval of the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning, and suitable evidence must be provided indicating the appropriate maximum speed to be advised.

## 3 Specific Speed Limit application

- 3.1 The following paragraphs provide specific guidance on when each speed limit is likely to be appropriate, subject to individual site circumstances and additional requirements that may be identified as part of the assessment process.

### 20mph speed limits and zones

- 3.2 20mph speed limits / zones may encourage modal shift towards more active travel forms such as walking and cycling and may result in reductions in traffic flow on the road, as well as reduced emissions. They are most appropriate in urban residential areas, shopping streets, village environments, industrial estates where large vehicles may be manoeuvring or in locations / on routes forming part of an Active Travel "corridor" and a lower speed limit is deemed necessary to support movement of VRUs.
- 3.3 Locations with very narrow roads, no footways or verges, low traffic volume, low speeds and support a combination of VRUs may be deemed as rural "Quiet Ways" and a 20mph speed limit may be considered. Any such request will require approval of the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.
- 3.4 Research indicates that signed-only 20mph speed limits can lead to reductions in traffic speeds. Where average speeds are less than 26mph a signed-only 20mph speed limit is deemed suitable, but if average speeds are higher than 26mph supporting measures or other road safety interventions may need to be considered, and where applicable discussed and agreed with the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and

Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport.

- 3.5 In some circumstances a 20mph zone may be the preferred option. These are generally very effective in reducing speeds and casualties, however, some supporting measures associated with zones can be expensive and are not always popular, with the potential to generate additional noise pollution and make journeys more uncomfortable for passengers of public service vehicles and those with certain medical conditions. Also, they may not be appropriate on major strategic routes, as these impact on response times for emergency services. Evidence that the local community supports the introduction of a 20mph zone, and its associated features, will be required to support a request.
- 3.6 Where a 20mph zone with supporting measures is implemented, any part of the road included within the zone must be within 50 metres of suitable supporting measure and to comply with TSRGD Schedule 10, General Direction 1. Supporting measures may include horizontal and vertical deflections, as well as some signs and road markings – see table 3 for examples.

### 20mph speed limits outside schools

- 3.7 West Sussex County Council recognises the area around school gates may pose a potentially high risk to VRUs. It is also acknowledged a variety of stakeholders have a critical role in maintaining safety in these environments, including the school, parents / guardians of children attending the school, residents and through traffic.
- 3.8 A 20mph speed limit may be provided adjacent to schools where there is a mixed use of vulnerable road users and motorised traffic. A typical layout that would meet approval would involve a minimum 150m length on each approach to the school and its associated school keep clear markings (where present) as shown at figure 1.




Figure 1 - typical 20mph zone at a school

- 3.9 Where appropriate, this layout may be adjusted to accommodate the local environment and adjoining roads adjacent or near to the school may also be considered if deemed to be a high risk.
- 3.10 It is recognised that not all school sites will be suitable for a 20mph speed limit so other measures that can be considered adjacent to schools to support a reduction in congestion and improve road safety include:
- School Safety Zones (signs, flashing lights and advisory 20mph)
  - School Crossing Patrols
  - Behavioural Change – education, training and publicity.

### Towns and Villages

- 3.11 Within the formal defined boundaries of a town or village it is acceptable for the speed limit to be set at either 20mph or 30mph on all roads.
- 3.12 Exceptions may apply to urban distributor roads, either single or dual carriageways, that have high through traffic volume with segregated VRU facilities and limited frontage accesses or junctions - in such cases the speed limit could typically be 40mph.

- 3.13 In a village environment the expectation is for a lower speed limit to begin at the village boundary as defined by the first property or community meeting space within that settlement. The typical requirement to be considered a village will be *“A group of houses and associated buildings with at least one community facility or meeting place focal point such as a church, public house, shop, community hall or green”*, though exceptions where there is ribbon development with no focal point, but a presence of vulnerable road users, may be considered through discussion with and agreement of the Assistant Director for Highways Transport and Planning in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport. However, any speed limit will comply with the minimum length distances in para 2.10.

### Single Carriageway Rural Roads

- 3.14 Rural roads play an important role in the road hierarchy across West Sussex, supporting a mix of leisure and business functions as well as being home to many thriving communities. Access to sustainable travel in rural areas is of high importance to these communities, and it is critical to ensure rural bus stops and the public rights of way network can be accessed safely. However, many rural roads are narrow and not suited to high volumes of faster moving traffic, creating conflict with VRUs.
- 3.15 Some rural roads may be deemed to be “Quiet ways” and are typically narrow unclassified rural lanes with the following characteristics:
- No footway
  - Narrow or no verges
  - No carriageway centre line
  - Low traffic volume
  - Low average speeds
  - Evidence of a mix of VRUs present, or the potential to encourage more VRU use.
- 3.16 Requests for speed reductions on single carriageway rural roads should be assessed against the functional hierarchy / route assessment at 2.5 to determine an appropriate speed limit. Potentially that speed limit could be:
- 50mph on “A” and “B” class roads
  - 40mph on “C” class and “Unclassified” roads and
  - 20 / 30mph on a road deemed to be a “Quiet Way”.

However, speed and collision history assessments may also be required to ascertain if any supporting measures may also be required.

### Dual carriageways

- 3.17 Dual carriageways are generally provided as a strategic route between major destinations. Speed limit requests on dual carriageways, regardless of location, shall be assessed against the functional hierarchy of that route

whilst also considering any road safety concerns, and reduced only with supporting evidence that a lower speed limit is appropriate.

## 4 Signing Speed Limits

- 4.1 Speed limits should be signed in accordance with current advice contained in the Traffic Signs Manual, Chapter 3. This will generally be through the use of standard speed limit terminal signs with road marking roundels and / or upright signs as repeater signing on an as needs basis. Each site will be assessed and considered for its own requirements.
- 4.2 Where a speed limit is reduced consideration should be given to any changes in existing signs or road markings to ensure these comply with the guidance contained within the Traffic Signs Manual. Where feasible, any appropriate works to amend the infrastructure should be incorporated with the speed limit reduction.
- 4.3 Village “gateways” on entry to a village that incorporate speed limit signing and a road safety message can reinforce the identity of the village environment and assist with self-compliance of a lower speed limit. Where appropriate, applications for community funded village gateways will be supported and licensed in accordance with current processes.
- 4.4 Where applicable, and such installation meets relevant criteria, vehicle activated signs may be considered to support a reduced speed limit. There is a separate application process for a VAS. In addition, West Sussex County Council will be generally supportive of local communities utilising mobile Speed Indicator Devices to support a speed limit, where such use is in accordance with current guidance, and will be licensed in accordance with current processes.
- 4.5 When providing speed limit signs and other infrastructure a balance needs to be found between providing sufficient road user information to effect behaviour, and not creating an unnecessary visual intrusion to the environment.

End